

“DISCOURSE STRATEGIES OF EXPRESSING AFFECTION IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK: A GENDER-BASED ANALYSIS”

Tulanboyeva Moxinur Maribjon qizi

Master’s Student (Year 2)

Uzbekistan State World Languages University, Uzbekistan

Scientific Supervisor: Umida Uralovna Mahmudova, PhD in Philosophy

Associate Professor, Department of English Translation Theory

Uzbekistan State World Languages University, Uzbekistan

ANNOTATION

This article examines the discourse strategies used to express affection in English and Uzbek, with a particular focus on gender-based differences in communication. While previous studies have primarily explored lexical and pragmatic aspects of affectionate language, this research shifts the focus to discourse-level analysis, emphasizing how affection is constructed and conveyed in real communicative contexts.

The study is grounded in the theoretical framework of Sociolinguistics and draws on the ideas of Deborah Tannen regarding gendered communication styles. A qualitative comparative method is employed, analyzing dialogue samples from everyday interactions in both languages.

The findings indicate that English speakers tend to express affection more directly and verbally, whereas Uzbek speakers often rely on indirect strategies, such as care-based expressions and context-dependent cues. Gender differences are also evident: female speakers in both linguistic cultures demonstrate higher emotional expressiveness, while male speakers tend to use more restrained or implicit forms of affection, often embedding them in humor or supportive actions.

The article concludes that discourse strategies of affection are shaped by both cultural norms and gender roles, highlighting the importance of context in interpreting emotional expression. This study contributes to the development of cross-cultural and gender-sensitive approaches in discourse analysis and language teaching.

Keywords: Affection, discourse strategies, gender differences, Sociolinguistics, English language, Uzbek language, emotional expression, communication styles

АННОТАЦИЯ

В данной статье рассматриваются дискурсивные стратегии выражения аффекции в английском и узбекском языках с особым вниманием к гендерным различиям в коммуникации. В то время как предыдущие исследования в основном сосредоточены на лексических и прагматических аспектах аффективной лексики, данное исследование смещает акцент на дискурсивный уровень, подчеркивая, каким образом аффекция формируется и передается в реальных коммуникативных ситуациях.

Исследование основано на теоретических положениях Социолингвистика и опирается на идеи Deborah Tannen, касающиеся гендерных особенностей речевого поведения. В работе используется качественный сравнительный метод, включающий анализ диалогов из повседневного общения в обоих языках.

Результаты показывают, что носители английского языка склонны выражать аффекцию более прямо и вербально, тогда как носители узбекского языка чаще используют косвенные стратегии, такие как выражение заботы и контекстуальные намеки. Гендерные различия также очевидны: женщины в обеих культурах демонстрируют более высокую эмоциональную выразительность, тогда как мужчины чаще используют сдержанные или имплицитные формы выражения аффекции, нередко включая их в юмор или поддерживающие действия.

В заключении подчеркивается, что дискурсивные стратегии выражения аффекции формируются под влиянием культурных норм и гендерных ролей, что указывает на важность контекста при интерпретации эмоциональных высказываний. Данное исследование вносит вклад в развитие межкультурного и гендерно-ориентированного подхода в дискурс-анализе и преподавании языков.

Ключевые слова: аффекция, дискурсивные стратегии, гендерные различия, Социолингвистика, английский язык, узбекский язык, эмоциональная экспрессия, коммуникативные стили

ANNOTATSIYA

Ushbu maqolada ingliz va o'zbek tillarida mehr-muhabbat (affeksiya) ifodalashning diskursiv strategiyalari, ayniqsa genderga oid kommunikativ farqlar nuqtai nazaridan tahlil qilinadi. Avvalgi tadqiqotlar asosan affektiv tilning leksik va pragmatik jihatlariga e'tibor qaratgan bo'lsa, mazkur ish diskurs darajasiga urg'u berib, real muloqot jarayonida affeksiyaning qanday shakllanishi va ifodalanishini ko'rsatadi.

Tadqiqot Sotsiolingvistika nazariyasiga asoslanadi hamda Deborah Tannen g'oyalariga tayangan holda olib boriladi. Ishda sifat jihatidan qiyosiy metod

qo‘llanilib, har ikki tildagi kundalik muloqot namunalariidan olingan dialoglar tahlil qilinadi.

Natijalar shuni ko‘rsatadiki, ingliz tilida so‘zlashuvchilar affeksiyani ko‘proq bevosita va og‘zaki shaklda ifodalaydilar, o‘zbek tilida esa ko‘proq bilvosita strategiyalar — g‘amxo‘rlik orqali ifodalash va kontekstga bog‘liq ishoralar ustunlik qiladi. Gender farqlari ham kuzatiladi: ayollar har ikkala madaniyatda yuqori darajada emotsional ifodalanishga ega bo‘lsa, erkaklar ko‘proq bosiq va yashirin shakllardan foydalanadi, ko‘pincha bu ifodalar hazil yoki qo‘llab-quvvatlovchi harakatlar orqali namoyon bo‘ladi.

Xulosa qilib aytganda, affeksiyani ifodalashdagi diskursiv strategiyalar madaniy me‘yorlar va gender rollari ta‘sirida shakllanadi, bu esa emotsional nutqni tushunishda kontekstning muhimligini ko‘rsatadi. Mazkur tadqiqot diskurs tahlili va til o‘qitish jarayonida madaniyatlararo hamda genderga sezgir yondashuvlarni rivojlantirishga hissa qo‘shadi.

Kalit so‘zlar: affeksiya, diskursiv strategiyalar, gender farqlari, Sotsiolingvistika, ingliz tili, o‘zbek tili, emotsional ifoda, kommunikativ uslublar

INTRODUCTION

The expression of affection constitutes a fundamental dimension of human communication, serving as a means of establishing interpersonal relationships, maintaining social cohesion, and reflecting culturally embedded values. Affectionate language functions not only as a vehicle for conveying emotions but also as a mechanism for negotiating social roles, identities, and relational dynamics within a given speech community. For this reason, the study of affection extends beyond purely linguistic interest and enters the domain of social and cultural analysis.

In linguistic research, the phenomenon of affection has traditionally been examined at the lexical and pragmatic levels. At the lexical level, scholars have focused on the selection and semantic properties of affectionate vocabulary, including terms of endearment and emotionally charged expressions. At the pragmatic level, attention has been given to communicative intentions, speech acts, and the contextual appropriateness of affectionate utterances. While these approaches have provided valuable insights, they remain insufficient for explaining how affection is actually realized in natural communication, where meaning is not fixed but dynamically constructed through interaction.

From this perspective, discourse analysis offers a more comprehensive and analytically productive framework. As a branch of Sociolinguistics, discourse analysis focuses on language in use, examining how speakers organize their utterances, manage interpersonal relations, and adapt their communicative strategies in real-life situations.

It enables researchers to investigate not only the linguistic forms of affection, but also the strategies through which these forms are embedded in broader communicative practices, including turn-taking, contextual adaptation, and implicit meaning construction.

This approach is particularly relevant in cross-cultural studies, where differences in communicative norms and cultural expectations significantly influence the expression of emotions. In this regard, English and Uzbek represent two linguistically and culturally distinct systems, each characterized by its own conventions of emotional expression. English-speaking communicative culture is often associated with greater verbal explicitness and openness in expressing personal feelings, whereas Uzbek communicative norms tend to favor indirectness, contextual sensitivity, and the expression of affection through actions and relational cues. Such differences make a discourse-level comparison both necessary and methodologically justified.

An essential variable within this framework is gender, which plays a central role in shaping communicative behavior. Within the tradition of Sociolinguistics, gender is understood not merely as a biological category but as a social construct that influences patterns of language use. The work of Deborah Tannen has been particularly influential in demonstrating that men and women often employ different communicative styles, reflecting broader social norms and expectations. These differences are especially visible in the domain of emotional expression, where women are generally associated with greater expressiveness and verbalization of feelings, while men tend to adopt more restrained or indirect communicative strategies.

Despite the growing body of research on affectionate language, including studies devoted to lexical choices and pragmatic functions in English and Uzbek, the discourse strategies underlying the expression of affection remain insufficiently explored. In particular, there is a noticeable lack of comparative studies that examine how affection is constructed, negotiated, and interpreted in real communicative interactions across these two linguistic and cultural contexts. This gap limits our understanding of how emotional meaning operates at the level of discourse, where linguistic, social, and cultural factors intersect.

The aim of this article is to investigate the discourse strategies of expressing affection in English and Uzbek, with a particular focus on gender-based differences in communication. The study seeks to identify the dominant discourse patterns through which affection is expressed, to compare these patterns across the two languages, and to determine the extent to which they are influenced by cultural norms and communicative contexts. To achieve this aim, the following research questions are addressed:

- How do men and women differ in expressing affection at the discourse level?
- What discourse strategies are characteristic of affectionate communication in English and Uzbek?
- How does communicative context influence the expression of affection in both languages?

LITERATURE REVIEW

The study of affection in language has attracted considerable attention within modern linguistics, particularly in relation to emotional expression and interpersonal communication. Affection, as a linguistic category, is generally understood as the verbal and non-verbal expression of positive emotional attitudes, including love, care, sympathy, and emotional closeness. Researchers have examined affectionate language primarily through lexical and semantic approaches, focusing on the structure, meaning, and usage of emotionally marked vocabulary such as terms of endearment and evaluative expressions. While these studies provide a necessary foundation, they tend to treat affection as a static element of language rather than a dynamic process realized in interaction.

From a broader perspective, the expression of affection is closely connected to the field of Sociolinguistics, where language is analyzed as a socially conditioned phenomenon. Within this framework, emotional expression is not viewed as universal or neutral but as shaped by cultural norms, social expectations, and communicative conventions. In different linguistic communities, the same emotional intention may be expressed through different strategies, ranging from explicit verbalization to indirect or context-dependent forms.

A significant body of research has explored the relationship between gender and language use, highlighting systematic differences in communicative behavior between male and female speakers. Early work by Robin Lakoff suggested that women's language tends to be more polite, expressive, and emotionally nuanced, whereas men's language is often characterized by greater directness and assertiveness. Although such claims have been critically re-evaluated in contemporary linguistics, they continue to provide a useful starting point for examining gender-based variation in emotional communication. Later studies, including those by Deborah Tannen, have shifted the focus toward interactional styles, arguing that men and women differ not only in what they say, but in how they structure and interpret communication.

An important theoretical framework for analyzing affectionate expressions is Politeness theory, which explains how speakers manage social relationships through language. According to this theory, communication involves the negotiation of "face," or social self-image, and speakers employ various strategies to maintain or enhance

interpersonal harmony. Expressions of affection can function as positive politeness strategies, reinforcing solidarity and emotional closeness between interlocutors. At the same time, the degree of directness or indirectness in expressing affection often depends on cultural norms and the perceived need to avoid imposing on the listener.

In this context, the distinction between direct and indirect speech becomes particularly relevant. Direct expressions of affection, such as explicit declarations of love or appreciation, are more typical of communicative cultures that value openness and individual emotional expression. In contrast, indirect strategies may involve hints, supportive actions, or context-dependent cues, requiring the listener to interpret the intended emotional meaning. Such variation highlights the importance of considering not only linguistic form but also communicative context in the analysis of affection.

Another key concept is emotional expressiveness, which refers to the degree to which speakers openly display their emotions in communication. Research suggests that emotional expressiveness is influenced by both cultural background and gender norms. In many societies, women are socially permitted or even expected to express emotions more openly, while men may be encouraged to adopt more restrained or controlled forms of expression. However, these tendencies are not universal and must be examined within specific linguistic and cultural contexts.

Despite the extensive research on affectionate language, most existing studies remain limited to lexical and pragmatic dimensions. There is comparatively little attention to how affection is constructed and negotiated at the level of discourse, where meaning emerges through interaction, context, and communicative strategy. In particular, comparative studies of English and Uzbek have not sufficiently addressed how gender influences the use of discourse strategies in expressing affection in real-life communication.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative comparative research design aimed at identifying and analyzing discourse strategies of expressing affection in English and Uzbek, with particular attention to gender-based differences. The methodological framework integrates principles of discourse analysis within the broader field of Sociolinguistics, allowing for a systematic examination of how affectionate meanings are constructed, negotiated, and interpreted in authentic communicative settings.

Unlike purely lexical or pragmatic approaches, this study treats affection as a **discursive phenomenon**, emphasizing its dynamic realization in interaction. The comparative dimension enables the identification of both universal tendencies and culture-specific patterns in the expression of affection.

Data Collection- The empirical data consist of a corpus of dialogue samples representing everyday communicative situations in both English and Uzbek. The dataset includes both naturally occurring and contextually simulated interactions in order to ensure analytical diversity and contextual relevance.

The data were collected from multiple sources:

- informal spoken conversations reflecting spontaneous, unplanned speech
- dialogues from films and television series, which provide semi-authentic yet context-rich representations of interaction
- examples of social communication, including family discourse, friendship exchanges, and romantic interactions

To enhance methodological reliability, the selection of data followed several criteria:

- **contextual diversity:** inclusion of both formal and informal settings
- **relational variation:** representation of different social relationships (e.g., parent–child, partners, friends)
- **gender balance:** approximately equal representation of male and female speakers
- **functional relevance:** inclusion of dialogues where affection is explicitly or implicitly expressed

The final dataset was compiled to reflect a range of communicative situations in which affectionate meaning is likely to emerge, ensuring that the analysis captures both typical and context-dependent patterns.

Method of Analysis- The primary analytical method is discourse analysis, which focuses on language as it is used in real communicative contexts. Within this approach, attention is paid not only to linguistic forms but also to interactional dynamics, including how speakers construct meaning, manage relationships, and adapt their speech to situational factors.

In addition, a comparative method is employed to examine similarities and differences between English and Uzbek discourse practices. This involves systematic cross-linguistic comparison of communicative strategies, with particular emphasis on the influence of cultural norms and gender roles.

The analysis proceeds in several stages:

1. **Identification** of affectionate expressions within the dataset
2. **Classification** according to predefined analytical categories
3. **Contextual interpretation** of each instance within its communicative setting
4. **Cross-linguistic comparison** to identify patterns and divergences

This step-by-step procedure ensures methodological transparency and analytical consistency.

Analytical Framework- To operationalize the concept of affection at the discourse level, the study employs a set of clearly defined analytical criteria. Each dialogue sample is examined according to the following parameters:

1. Direct Expressions of Affection

Explicit verbal statements that clearly convey emotional attachment or positive evaluation (e.g., declarations of love, verbal praise, expressions of appreciation).

2. Indirect Expressions of Affection **Implicit or context-dependent strategies, including:**

- expressions of care (e.g., offering help, concern)
- supportive actions embedded in speech
- hints or emotionally suggestive utterances

These require interpretation based on context rather than explicit wording.

3. Emotional Intensity

The degree of emotional explicitness, ranging from low-intensity (subtle, restrained expressions) to high-intensity (strong, overt emotional statements). This parameter allows for comparison of expressive tendencies across genders and cultures.

4. Use of Diminutives and Affectionate Forms

The presence of linguistically marked forms such as:

- terms of endearment
- ласкательные формы
- softening devices (e.g., mitigating expressions, affectionate suffixes)

These elements often signal emotional closeness and relational intimacy.

5. Communicative Context

The situational and relational environment in which the utterance occurs, including:

- formal vs informal settings
- public vs private interaction
- type of relationship between speakers

Context is treated as a crucial factor in interpreting the meaning and function of affectionate expressions.

Each instance is analyzed not in isolation but as part of a broader discourse structure, taking into account sequential organization and interactional purpose.

Gender-Based Analysis- A central component of the methodology is the examination of gender as a variable influencing discourse behavior. Gender is approached as a sociolinguistic construct that shapes communicative norms and expectations. The analysis compares male and female speech patterns across comparable contexts, focusing on:

- **frequency of direct vs indirect expressions of affection**

- levels of emotional expressiveness
- preferred discourse strategies (e.g., verbalization, humor, care-based communication)

Special attention is given to identifying whether observed differences reflect consistent patterns or context-dependent variation. This approach avoids simplistic generalizations and instead emphasizes tendencies supported by empirical data.

Reliability and Validity- To enhance the reliability of the analysis, consistent criteria were applied across all data samples. The use of clearly defined analytical categories reduces subjectivity and ensures comparability between English and Uzbek data. Validity is supported through:

- the use of multiple data sources
- inclusion of diverse communicative contexts
- alignment of analytical procedures with established principles of discourse analysis

These measures contribute to the overall credibility of the findings.

Limitations of the Study- Despite its methodological rigor, the study has certain limitations. The dataset, while diverse, remains selective and may not fully represent all regional or stylistic variations within English and Uzbek. Additionally, the interpretation of indirect expressions inherently involves a degree of subjectivity, as such meanings depend heavily on contextual and cultural knowledge.

Furthermore, the use of film and media dialogues, although valuable for contextual richness, may reflect partially constructed or stylized forms of communication rather than purely spontaneous speech.

Nevertheless, these limitations do not undermine the overall validity of the study, as the methodological design ensures sufficient depth and consistency to identify general patterns in the discourse expression of affection.

ANALYSIS

The analysis of English and Uzbek discourse demonstrates significant differences in the ways affection is expressed in communication. One of the major distinctions is the level of directness. English speakers tend to express affection openly and verbally through direct emotional statements such as expressions of love, admiration, and appreciation. Uzbek speakers, in contrast, often prefer indirect forms of affection, where emotional meaning is conveyed through care, concern, advice, and supportive behavior rather than explicit declarations.

Gender also plays an important role in affectionate communication. Female speakers in both languages generally demonstrate higher emotional expressiveness and use more emotionally rich vocabulary. Male speakers, however, tend to express affection in more restrained or indirect ways, often through actions, humor, or practical

support. These differences reflect broader cultural and social expectations related to gender roles.

The findings further show that communicative context strongly influences discourse strategies. In Uzbek communication, especially in public settings, overt emotional expression is often limited due to norms of politeness and restraint. In private or family contexts, affection is more commonly expressed through care-based communication. English-speaking discourse allows comparatively greater verbal openness in both private and interpersonal communication.

Several common discourse strategies of affection were identified in both languages, including compliments, care-based expressions, teasing, and softening strategies. Compliments are used to express admiration and positive evaluation, while care-based expressions communicate affection through concern and support. Teasing functions as an indirect sign of emotional closeness, particularly in informal communication, and softening strategies reduce emotional intensity, making expressions more socially acceptable.

The table below is used to present a clear comparative overview of the main discourse strategies through which affection is expressed in English and Uzbek communication. It helps systematize the analyzed examples and demonstrates how similar emotional intentions are realized differently across the two languages and cultures. The table also illustrates the influence of communicative norms and cultural context on the choice of affectionate expressions.

**Comparative Examples of Affectionate Discourse
Strategies in English and Uzbek**

Discourse Strategy	English Example	Uzbek Example	Communicative Function
Direct emotional expression	“I really love you.”	“Siz men uchun juda qadrlisiz.”	Open verbal expression of affection
Care-based expression	“You should get some rest.”	“Charchadingiz, dam oling.”	Showing affection through concern and care
Compliment strategy	“You look amazing today.”	“Bugun juda chiroyli ko‘rinyapsiz.”	Expressing admiration and positive evaluation
Teasing strategy	“Don’t mess this up again!”	“Yana kech qoldingiz.”	Indirect expression of closeness through humor

Softening strategy	“I kind of miss you.”	“Sal sog‘indim.”	Reducing emotional intensity to sound less direct
--------------------	-----------------------	------------------	---

The examples presented in the table demonstrate that English discourse more frequently employs direct verbal expressions of affection, while Uzbek discourse often relies on indirect and care-oriented strategies. At the same time, both languages use compliments, teasing, and softening devices to maintain emotional closeness and interpersonal harmony. The comparative format of the table allows the similarities and differences between the two linguistic cultures to be observed more clearly and systematically.

General Insight: The findings demonstrate that affection is not expressed through a single universal form but through a range of discourse strategies shaped by:

- cultural norms
- gender expectations
- communicative context

English discourse tends toward **verbal explicitness**, while Uzbek discourse emphasizes **contextual interpretation and relational meaning**.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study demonstrate that the expression of affection in English and Uzbek is not merely a linguistic phenomenon but a reflection of deeper cultural, social, and gender-based norms. The observed differences in discourse strategies can be explained through the interaction of these factors, which shape both the form and function of emotional expression in communication.

One of the central explanations lies in the distinction between collectivist and individualistic cultural orientations. Uzbek communicative culture, which is generally associated with collectivist values, prioritizes social harmony, respect, and relational balance. Within such a framework, the direct verbalization of emotions may be perceived as unnecessary or even inappropriate in certain contexts. As a result, affection is often expressed indirectly, through care-based strategies, supportive actions, and context-dependent cues. These forms allow speakers to convey emotional meaning while maintaining social norms of modesty and restraint.

In contrast, English-speaking communicative culture tends to reflect more individualistic values, where personal feelings and self-expression are given greater importance. This cultural orientation encourages the explicit verbalization of emotions, including affection. As observed in the analysis, English speakers frequently employ direct expressions and emotionally explicit language, particularly in private and

interpersonal contexts. This difference highlights how cultural frameworks influence not only what is said, but how it is said.

Gender roles also play a significant role in shaping discourse strategies. Across both languages, female speakers demonstrate a higher degree of emotional expressiveness, often utilizing direct, elaborated, and emotionally rich forms of communication. This tendency can be linked to social expectations that associate women with emotional openness and relational communication. Male speakers, on the other hand, more frequently employ indirect or action-based strategies, reflecting cultural norms that encourage emotional restraint and control. In Uzbek contexts especially, male speech often aligns with expectations of formality, respect, and limited emotional display.

At the same time, the findings indicate that gender differences are not absolute but context-dependent. In close relationships or private settings, male speakers may also use more direct expressions of affection, while female speakers may adopt indirect strategies depending on situational constraints. This suggests that gender interacts dynamically with context, rather than functioning as a fixed determinant of communicative behavior.

Another important factor influencing the expression of affection is communicative context. The distinction between public and private discourse significantly affects the choice of strategies. In Uzbek communication, public contexts often require greater formality and emotional restraint, whereas private contexts allow for more implicit yet meaningful expressions of care. In English discourse, although context also plays a role, there is generally greater flexibility in expressing affection openly across different settings.

CONCLUSION

This study has examined the discourse strategies of expressing affection in English and Uzbek, with a particular focus on gender-based differences. By moving beyond lexical and pragmatic analysis, the research has provided a discourse-level perspective on how affectionate meaning is constructed and interpreted in real communicative interactions.

The findings reveal that English speakers tend to express affection more directly and explicitly, while Uzbek speakers more often rely on indirect, context-dependent strategies, particularly those based on care and relational cues. Gender differences were also identified, with female speakers generally demonstrating greater emotional expressiveness and male speakers favoring more restrained or action-based forms of communication. At the same time, these patterns were shown to be flexible and influenced by communicative context.

One of the key contributions of this study lies in its shift from the analysis of isolated linguistic units to the examination of affection as a discourse phenomenon. This approach allows for a more comprehensive understanding of how emotional meaning is shaped through interaction, rather than simply encoded in words or structures. In this way, the research expands existing studies on affectionate language by integrating sociolinguistic and discourse-analytic perspectives.

Furthermore, the study contributes to cross-cultural communication research by highlighting how cultural values and gender roles influence communicative behavior in English and Uzbek contexts. The findings may also have practical implications for language teaching, particularly in developing learners' awareness of culturally appropriate ways of expressing emotions in different languages.

In conclusion, the expression of affection is a complex and multifaceted process that reflects not only linguistic choices but also broader social and cultural dynamics. Future research may build on this study by incorporating larger datasets, additional communicative contexts, or other linguistic communities in order to further explore the discourse nature of emotional expression.

LIST OF LITERATURE

1. Deborah Tannen. **You Just Don't Understand: Women and Men in Conversation**. New York: Ballantine Books, 1990.
2. Robin Lakoff. **Language and Woman's Place**. New York: Harper & Row, 1975.
3. Norman Fairclough. **Discourse and Social Change**. Cambridge: Polity Press, 1992.
4. Teun A. van Dijk. **Discourse and Context: A Sociocognitive Approach**. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008.
5. Penelope Brown & Stephen Levinson. **Politeness: Some Universals in Language Usage**. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987.
6. Janet Holmes. **An Introduction to Sociolinguistics**. London: Routledge, 2013.
7. Peter Trudgill. **Sociolinguistics: An Introduction to Language and Society**. London: Penguin Books, 2000.
8. Ronald Wardhaugh. **An Introduction to Sociolinguistics**. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 2010.
9. George Yule. **Pragmatics**. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1996.
10. Paul Baker. **Using Corpora in Discourse Analysis**. London: Continuum, 2006.

11. Sara Mills. **Gender and Politeness**. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003.
12. Michael Stubbs. **Discourse Analysis: The Sociolinguistic Analysis of Natural Language**. Oxford: Blackwell, 1983.
13. Dell Hymes. **Foundations in Sociolinguistics: An Ethnographic Approach**. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1974.
14. Erving Goffman. **Interaction Ritual: Essays on Face-to-Face Behavior**. New York: Pantheon Books, 1967.
15. Geoffrey Leech. **Principles of Pragmatics**. London: Longman, 1983.