

**ADHERENCE TO INFANT AND YOUNG CHILD FEEDING (IYCF)
GUIDELINES AMONG MOTHERS ATTENDING A RURAL
IMMUNIZATION CLINIC**

Dr. Saloni Jain , Assistant, Samarkand State Medical Student , Uzbekistan

Shawaz Alam , Medical Student, Samarkand State Medical Student , Uzbekistan

Mubarik Rana , Medical Student, Samarkand State Medical Student , Uzbekistan

Intesaf Alam , Medical Student, Samarkand state medical university, Uzbekistan

Abstract

The first one thousand days of life — from conception to a child's second birthday — shape health more powerfully than almost any other period. How infants and young children are fed during this window determines not only their survival but their growth, cognitive development, and lifelong risk of disease. The World Health Organization and UNICEF have distilled decades of evidence into clear Infant and Young Child Feeding (IYCF) guidelines: early initiation of breastfeeding within the first hour of life, exclusive breastfeeding for the first six months, and the timely introduction of safe, diverse, and nutritious complementary foods thereafter. Yet in rural communities around the world, these simple recommendations remain surprisingly difficult to follow. This narrative article explores adherence to IYCF guidelines among mothers attending a rural immunization clinic — a setting where nutrition and preventive health uniquely intersect. Drawing on global evidence and the realities of rural practice, it examines how well mothers follow key IYCF recommendations, the barriers they face, from cultural beliefs and family pressure to poverty and poor counselling, and the opportunities that immunization visits offer for strengthening feeding practices. The article argues that immunization clinics, already trusted touchpoints in rural life, could become powerful platforms for nutrition education if health systems choose to invest in them.

Keywords: infant and young child feeding, IYCF, breastfeeding, complementary feeding, immunization clinic, rural health, maternal nutrition, child malnutrition

1. Introduction

On any given immunization day at a rural health clinic, the scene is remarkably similar across continents. Mothers arrive early, babies wrapped against the morning chill, some

having walked kilometres along unpaved roads. They queue patiently for vaccines that will protect their children against polio, measles, diphtheria, and tuberculosis. But while the syringe gets most of the attention, another kind of protection — the kind that comes from how a child is fed — is quietly waiting in the same room. Immunization clinics bring health workers and mothers together at exactly the moments when feeding guidance matters most. Whether that opportunity is seized or wasted may say a great deal about a child's future.

Infant and young child feeding is one of the most cost-effective interventions in all of public health. The landmark Lancet nutrition series estimated that poor feeding practices contribute to more than a third of child deaths globally, and that optimal breastfeeding alone could prevent over 800,000 child deaths every year. The benefits extend far beyond survival: children who are breastfed perform better on intelligence tests, are less likely to be overweight or diabetic later in life, and enjoy stronger immune systems. For mothers, breastfeeding reduces the risk of breast and ovarian cancer. The science is settled; the challenge lies in practice, particularly in rural communities where resources are scarce and traditions run deep.

This article examines adherence to IYCF guidelines among mothers attending a rural immunization clinic. It reviews the core recommendations, summarises what global and regional studies tell us about how closely rural mothers follow them, explores the barriers that stand in the way, and considers how the immunization platform itself can be leveraged to close the gap between what we know and what mothers are able to do.



Figure 1. Growth monitoring at a rural clinic — a routine touchpoint where feeding guidance can be shared alongside vaccination and weighing. (Image: Government of Japan / Kizuna)

2. What the IYCF Guidelines Recommend

The IYCF guidelines rest on a small number of deceptively simple recommendations. Mothers are advised to initiate breastfeeding within the first hour after birth, because early feeding helps establish milk supply, protects against infection through the transfer of antibodies, and strengthens the bond between mother and child. For the first six months, breast milk alone should sustain the infant — no water, no formula, no traditional porridges — because exclusive breastfeeding provides ideal nutrition and unmatched protection against diarrhoea and pneumonia, two of the leading killers of young children. From six months onwards, complementary foods should be introduced: soft, varied, nutrient-rich foods given alongside continued breastfeeding, with the frequency and texture increasing as the child grows. Beyond feeding, the guidelines emphasise responsive feeding — recognising and responding to a child's hunger cues — and continued breastfeeding up to two years of age or beyond.

These recommendations have been measured for decades using standard WHO/UNICEF indicators, such as the rate of early initiation of breastfeeding, the prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding under six months, and the proportion of children receiving a minimum acceptable diet. The table below summarises the key recommendations and their core rationale.

Table 1. Core WHO/UNICEF IYCF recommendations

Recommendation	Timing	Rationale
Early initiation of breastfeeding	Within 1 hour of birth	Establishes milk supply; transfers protective antibodies; reduces neonatal mortality
Exclusive breastfeeding	0–6 months	Optimal nutrition; protects against diarrhoea and pneumonia; supports cognitive development
Continued breastfeeding	6–24 months and beyond	Provides ongoing nutrients and immune protection as

		complementary foods increase
Timely complementary feeding	From 6 months	Meets rising energy and micronutrient needs that breast milk alone can no longer cover
Minimum dietary diversity & frequency	6–23 months	Ensures adequate intake of vitamins and minerals; prevents stunting and anaemia
Responsive feeding	Throughout infancy	Encourages self-regulation, bonding, and adequate intake

3. How Well Do Rural Mothers Follow the Guidelines?

The honest answer is: better than before, but not well enough. Globally, only about four in ten infants under six months are exclusively breastfed, a figure that has improved in recent decades but remains far short of the World Health Assembly's target of 50% by 2025. Early initiation within the first hour hovers around 45% worldwide, and rural areas consistently lag behind urban centres, though the gap varies by region. In South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa, where the burden of child malnutrition is heaviest, studies repeatedly find that prelacteal feeds — water, honey, or herbal preparations given in the first days of life — remain deeply entrenched, undermining exclusive breastfeeding from the very start.

Complementary feeding tells an even more difficult story. Surveys across low- and middle-income countries show that fewer than a third of children aged 6–23 months receive a minimum acceptable diet, and rural children fare worst of all. Complementary foods are often introduced too early or too late, are frequently thin and monotonous — a single staple gruel day after day — and are given too infrequently to meet growing energy needs. The result is visible in the growth curves of rural clinics: children who begin life at healthy weights gradually slide down the chart between six months and two years, the very window in which complementary feeding should be closing the nutrition gap.



Figure 2. Complementary feeding done well: a mother offers varied, nutrient-rich foods to her young child. (Image: UNICEF)

4. Barriers to Adherence in Rural Settings

If the guidelines are simple and the benefits proven, why is adherence so poor? The barriers fall into several interconnected categories. Cultural beliefs are among the most powerful. In many communities, colostrum — the thick, yellowish first milk — is mistakenly believed to be dirty or harmful and is discarded, depriving newborns of its concentrated protection. Grandmothers and mothers-in-law, whose experience commands respect, may insist on prelacteal feeds or early introduction of family foods, and young mothers rarely feel empowered to disagree. Breastfeeding in the first hour is further undermined by traditional birth practices at home and by health facilities that separate mothers and newborns or routinely give infants sugar water or formula.

Socioeconomic pressures add another layer. Poverty limits dietary diversity; a family that struggles to afford staple foods cannot easily provide the eggs, dairy, fruits, and flesh foods that enrich a child's plate. Food insecurity, seasonal shortages, and the demands of agricultural labour — which often force mothers back into the fields within weeks of delivery — make exclusive breastfeeding physically difficult. Water, sanitation, and hygiene challenges make safe preparation of complementary foods a daily gamble, and the time and fuel required to cook frequent, nutritious meals are scarce commodities in rural households.

The health system itself carries part of the responsibility. Rural clinics are chronically understaffed, and nurses managing long immunization queues rarely have time for

lengthy feeding counselling. Training in IYCF is often superficial, counselling materials are scarce, and breastfeeding support is fragmented between antenatal care, delivery services, and child health visits. The table below summarises these barriers and their practical consequences.

Table 2. Common barriers to IYCF adherence in rural communities

Category	Barrier	Effect on feeding practice
Cultural	Discarding colostrum; prelacteal feeding traditions; elder pressure	Delayed initiation; undermined exclusive breastfeeding
Socioeconomic	Poverty; food insecurity; maternal workload	Poor dietary diversity; early cessation of breastfeeding
Health system	Shortage of trained staff; weak counselling; fragmented services	Missed opportunities for guidance and support
Knowledge	Misconceptions about breast milk adequacy and infant hunger cues	Unnecessary introduction of water, formula, or solids
Environmental	Unsafe water; poor sanitation; lack of fuel and time	Contaminated complementary foods; reduced meal frequency
Policy / workplace	Short maternity protection; no breastfeeding breaks	Premature weaning among working mothers

5. The Immunization Clinic: A Missed Opportunity or a Hidden Asset?

Here lies the paradox at the heart of this topic. Immunization clinics already achieve what nutrition programmes struggle to do: they bring mothers and infants back to the health facility repeatedly and predictably during the first two years of life — precisely the period when feeding practices evolve fastest. A child who follows the basic immunization schedule visits a clinic at least six times before the second birthday. Each visit is a natural, low-cost moment to ask how the child is being fed, to correct misconceptions, to demonstrate complementary food preparation, and to link mothers

with community support networks. In many settings, growth monitoring already happens at these visits; feeding assessment would add only minutes.

Evidence supports seizing this opportunity. Studies of integrated immunization–nutrition interventions have found that even brief counselling delivered by vaccinators and community health workers can improve exclusive breastfeeding rates and dietary diversity. Peer support groups, where mothers learn from one another under gentle facilitation, have proven remarkably effective at shifting cultural norms. Some programmes have gone further, training immunization staff in the Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative's community follow-up principles or using clinic days to distribute micronutrient powders and demonstrate recipes with locally available foods. The common thread is integration: nutrition services travel on the rails that immunization has already built.



Figure 3. An immunization visit offers repeated, trusted contact between health workers and mothers — an ideal platform for feeding counselling.

6. Strengthening Adherence: Practical Strategies

Improving IYCF adherence in rural clinics does not require new science; it requires commitment to known solutions. First, health workers need training and time. Brief, practical IYCF counselling should be part of every immunization encounter, supported by simple job aids and supervision. Second, counselling must extend beyond the clinic walls. Community health workers, traditional birth attendants, and mother support groups can reinforce messages at home, where feeding actually happens — and where grandmothers, whose influence is decisive, must be deliberately engaged. Third, families need material support: maternity protection for working mothers, promotion

of nutritious local foods, and linkages with social protection programmes that ease food insecurity.

Measurement matters too. Clinics should routinely track IYCF indicators alongside immunization coverage, turning anecdote into data and data into action. When a clinic discovers that only a third of its infants are exclusively breastfed, that number becomes a target, a budget line, and a rallying point. Ultimately, feeding a child well is one of the oldest acts of motherhood; the health system's job is simply to make the right choice the easy choice.

7. Conclusion

Every immunization visit is a quiet negotiation with the future. The vaccine in the syringe protects against one disease; the feeding practice that surrounds it protects against many. For mothers attending rural immunization clinics, adherence to IYCF guidelines is shaped as much by culture, poverty, and the strength of health services as by knowledge alone. The evidence gathered across countries and decades points to a hopeful conclusion: when clinics, communities, and families work together, feeding practices improve, children thrive, and the promise of the first thousand days is fulfilled. The mothers are already coming to the clinic. The opportunity is already there. What remains is to meet them — with more than a vaccine.

References

World Health Organization. Global Strategy for Infant and Young Child Feeding. Geneva: WHO; 2003.

World Health Organization, UNICEF. Indicators for Assessing Infant and Young Child Feeding Practices: Definitions and Measurement Methods. Geneva: WHO; 2021.

Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJD, et al. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10017):475-490.

Black RE, Victora CG, Walker SP, et al. Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet*. 2013;382(9890):427-451.

Lutter CK, Daelmans BMEG, de Onis M, et al. Undernutrition, poor feeding practices, and low coverage of key nutrition interventions. *Pediatrics*. 2011;128(6):e1416-e1427.

Rollins NC, Bhandari N, Hajeebhoy N, et al. Why invest, and what it will take to improve breastfeeding practices? *Lancet*. 2016;387(10017):491-504.

World Health Organization. Guideline: Protecting, Promoting and Supporting Breastfeeding in Facilities Providing Maternity and Newborn Services. Geneva: WHO; 2017.

Haider BA, Imdad A, Bhutta ZA. Effect of breastfeeding promotion interventions on breastfeeding rates, with special focus on developing countries. BMC Public Health. 2011;11(Suppl 3):S24.

UNICEF. From the First Hour of Life: Making the Case for Improved Infant and Young Child Feeding Everywhere. New York: UNICEF; 2016.

World Health Organization. Infant and Young Child Feeding: Key Facts. Geneva: WHO; 2023.